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Abstract

The hydrogen cracking is one of the most important structural steel welding problems. It occurs when these three factors are present: high hydrogen content, brittle microstructure and residual or external stress. Them, low hydrogen covered electrodes are the most recommended on equipments and structures welding. Basic is the electrode which produces a weld metal with less hydrogen content (usually less than 8ml/100g weld metal). However, due the hygroscopicity of main coating raw materials like limestone and fluorite, this electrode requires special storage conditions, re-drying, storage in holding oven and electrically-heated quivers before use. This paper evaluates the weld metal microstructure and properties of low hydrogen covered electrodes where the usual binders (potassium and sodium silicates) were replaced by polymers. This new electrode has a moisture resistant coating. The new technology promotes gains on the production and use. Production cost reduction is obtained by drying elimination. Elimination of special storage conditions, re-drying, storage in holding oven and electrically-heated quivers before use are possible with it. The impermeable covered electrode was produced using the E7018 formula. Preliminary tests with different polymers and formulation changes were realized to meet the satisfactory weldability. The best covered electrode at the first stage was evaluated at the second stage. Weld metal diffusible hydrogen, microstructure and mechanical properties were checked and compared with traditional low hydrogen covered electrodes. The impermeable covered electrode diffusible hydrogen content was less than 4ml/100g of weld metal. This is very low if compared to conventional low hydrogen covered electrodes. Additional hydrogen tests were made after covered electrode moisture exposure under several conditions and confirm the coating resistance. The impermeable covered electrode weld metal showed the same morphology and typical microstructure of weld metal produced by the E7018 low hydrogen covered electrode. However, the acicular ferrite volume was significantly higher when compared with E7018 covered electrode. Weld metal tensile and yield strength, elongation and toughness (Charpy V notch test) overcome the E7018 low hydrogen covered electrodes properties. The slag analysis showed the strongly polymer influence.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The SMAW process started at the Beginning of the XX century. The joining is obtained by electrical arc established between consumable covered electrode and work piece. Even as a lower productivity welding process, compared to MIG / MAG (GMAW) and flux cored wires (FCAW) it remains as an interesting alternative in manufacturing operations and maintenance. This fact is associated mainly to its versatility.

The electrodes can be classified according to the materials used in coating, in: rutile, cellulose, basic or oxidizing agents. In welds where it is necessary to ensure high levels of health of the weld metal, ie, high "responsibility", it is recommended the use of electrodes type basic. Its use provides to obtain welds characterized by different mechanical properties and low diffusible hydrogen levels (below 8ml/100g weld metal). However, the hygroscopic nature of some components of the coating (limestone and fluorite), requires the adoption of special care before using it in order to avoid incorporation of hydrogen into the weld metal. These precautions include storage under controlled conditions, drying and maintenance in greenhouses [1].

Recent studies by Ivan et al. [2] indicated the technical feasibility of using in wet underwater welding rutile electrodes where the traditional binder was replaced by polymers. Using this new technology allowed the production of electrodes with water-resistant coating. The reduction or total elimination of drying during manufacture of these electrodes (shown in Figure 1) also allowed a reduction in production cost.

**Figure 1. Traditional and impermeable covered electrodes production flow chart.**

The satisfactory results obtained motivated the development of impermeable basic electrodes for conventional welding. In this application, to eliminate a major source of
hydrogen, it is necessary to ensure that the coating of the electrodes has low moisture content [3].

Vaz et al [4] developed in laboratory scale, a waterproof low hydrogen coated electrode. As a starting point, the formula of the conventional class SMAW E7018 was adopted. Adjustments were made in the formula in order to obtain a consumable with minimum operational characteristics necessary for its application. The preliminary metallographic analysis of the weld metal microstructure showed usual morphology and constituents. However, a higher volume fraction of acicular ferrite that usually is observed in the weld metal deposited by E7018 class electrodes was observed.

The aim of this paper was to evaluate the weld metal deposited by the coated electrode with better performance formulation in the study of Vaz et al [4]. The weld metal produced was subjected to chemical and metallographic analysis, diffusible hydrogen test, tensile test (to determine yield strength, ultimate strength and elongation) and impact toughness (Charpy - V notch test). Additionally, the slag produced during welding with the electrode was analyzed by x-ray diffraction.

2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

To evaluate the structure and properties of the weld metal, impermeable coated electrodes using the formulation of better performance in the study of Vaz et al [4] were produced on an industrial scale. The weld metal deposition, to determine the chemical composition, was performed as proposed by the AWS A5.1 specification [1]. The analysis of the content of chemical elements was performed by optical emission spectrometry.

For the metallographic analysis, transverse sections, at the center of the welds, were performed and samples were taken. These were sanded, polished, attacked with Nital 2%, and observed in an optical microscope and photographed with magnification up to 1000 times. Quantitative metallography was performed in order to determine the percentage of acicular ferrite in weld metal deposited in accordance with the methodology proposed by IIW Doc IX-1533-88 [5]. The hardness of the weld metal was done using the Vickers method with a load of 100g.

Test plates for removal of specimens for tensile and impact test of the weld metal were welded as proposed by the AWS A5.1 specification [1] (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Plate for removal of specimens for tensile and impact test of the weld metal. (dimensions in inches). [1]
The content of diffusible hydrogen in weld metal was determined by gas chromatography according to AWS A4.3 [6]. Tests were performed with electrodes obtained right after production and after exposure to the atmosphere for a period of 30 days. Since the relative humidity was not monitored during the exposure period, the hydrogen in weld metal deposited by electrodes E7018 was determined immediately after the drying operation, as recommended by the manufacturer, and after 30 days of exposure under the same weather conditions of the impermeable electrode.

The slag obtained in the welding with the impermeable and conventional electrodes were collected, milled and subsequently analyzed by x-ray diffraction. To allow identification of likely compounds, and the evaluation of the formulation of the electrodes was performed semiquantitative analysis of the slag by EDS.

3 RESULTS

Table 1 shows the results of chemical analysis of weld metal deposited by the impermeable electrode. Also presented are values specified for the class E7018 electrodes, established by standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical element</th>
<th>Impermeable electrode</th>
<th>E7018 class specification*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0,16</td>
<td>0,15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0,67</td>
<td>0,75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1,29</td>
<td>1,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>0,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>0,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0,01</td>
<td>0,08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr+V+Ni+Mo</td>
<td>1,35</td>
<td>1,75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Maximum values.

Figure 3 shows the visual appearance of the weld deposited with the impermeable electrode and the conventional electrode base class E7018. Both welds were produced by the same welder in the same conditions for both types of welding electrodes.

Figure 3. Visual appearance of the bead on plate weld deposited with impermeable electrode (A) and conventional E7018 electrode (B).
Figure 4 shows the Macrography cross section of a bead on plate deposited with the impermeable electrode. In this figure the areas where microstructural analysis was performed are indicated. In Figure 5 are presented, with magnification of 100, 200, 500 and 1000 times the corresponding microstructures.

![Macrography of the weld metal](image)

**Figure 4. Macrography of the weld metal**

The volume fraction of acicular ferrite in different regions of weld metal is shown in Figure 6 and Table 2 presents the measurements of Vickers hardness (HV100) of that constituent.
Figure 5. Microstructure of the weld metal deposited with the impermeable electrode. Magnifications: 100, 200, 500 e 1000x.

Table 2. Acicular ferrite hardness (HV100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>274</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 presents the results of mechanical properties of weld metal deposited with the impermeable electrode (yield strength, tensile strength, elongation, area reduction and impact toughness). The fractured surfaces of specimens of Charpy tested at -29°C and -45°C are shown in Figure 6.

Tabela 3. Weld metal mechanical properties (yield strength, tensile strength, elongation and impact toughness (Charpy-V notch)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tension test</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength</td>
<td>678 Mpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield strength</td>
<td>554 Mpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation</td>
<td>29 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area reduction</td>
<td>67 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact toughness (Charpy – V notch)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-30°C)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-45°C)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Average

Figure 7. Impact fractured surfaced (Charpy – V notch).
Figure 8 presents the values of diffusible hydrogen in weld metal of conventional and impermeable electrodes just after manufacture and after thirty days of exposure to the atmosphere under the same conditions of temperature and relative humidity.

Figure 8. Weld metal diffusible hydrogen (impermeable and conventional covered electrodes).

Figure 9 shows the XRD patterns obtained from the analysis of slag and conventional impermeable electrode. The identification of the probable components present in the slag was carried out by comparison with cards available at the ICDD database. The survey of raw materials present in the coating of the electrodes, the chemical analysis of the weld metal and chemical analysis of slag by EDS were used in this identification.
4 DISCUSSION

It can be observed by analyzing the results presented in Table 1 that the chemical composition of weld metal deposited with electrodes are inside the limits specified for Class E7018 electrode.

Analyzing Figure 3 it can be seen that the visual appearance of the weld deposited by the impermeable electrode is similar to the conventional E7018 class electrode. However, during welding, it was observed that the opening and maintenance of the arc with impermeable electrodes were easier for the welder when compared with the conventional electrode. This is probably due the plastic characteristic of the coating at the tip that allows the tip of the wire touch the piece without breaking, burning and easily ionizing.

It has been very well reported that the microstructure of a weld bead deposited by electrodes coated basic type E7018 is mainly composed by: acicular ferrite – AF, grain boundary ferrite PF(G) and second phase aligned ferrite - FS(A) [7]. The analysis of Figure 6 shows that the volume fraction of acicular ferrite in weld metal deposited by the impermeable electrode is superior to equivalent regions of the weld metal deposited with conventional compared to the conventional electrode E7018. As a main result, one can observe a reduction in volume fraction of the remaining constituents in the weld metal deposited by the impermeable electrode The presence of acicular ferrite in welds is always desirable, since this phase is associated with increased toughness. Vickers hardness measurements carried out in regions where the weld metal was observed the occurrence of acicular ferrite indicated consistent with those obtained by Babu [7].

The yield strength and resistance of the weld metal deposited with the impermeable electrode are well above the specified minimum for class E70XX electrodes (400 MPa and 490 MPa, respectively). However, there was noticeable reduction in elongation. Evaluating the chemical composition of the weld metal could associate the temperature with silicon content of weld metal. The average energy absorbed in impact tests at -30°C...
was 64J and 43J was -45°C. These results are significantly better than the expected for a weld metal produced by conventional E7018 electrodes.

It is observed that the levels of diffusible hydrogen found in the weld metal produced with impermeable electrode in both tests, immediately after manufacture and after 30 days of exposure to the atmosphere, are similar and much lower than those found in the weld metal produced with conventional E7018 electrodes, especially after exposure to the environment. The values obtained for the impermeable electrodes in both conditions (below 4ml/100g weld metal) are considered exceptionally low compared to the classic basic electrodes (generally below 8ml/100g weld metal). It must be also emphasized that these low results of diffusible hydrogen were obtained with impermeable electrodes that do not require drying ovens and maintenance in those greenhouses before its application.

The analysis of the diffraction patterns in Figure 9, showed the presence of some amount of amorphous compounds in both slags. The XRD pattern of slag of conventional electrode showed a typical morphology of conventional slag, ie, large amount of peaks indicating the presence of many crystalline compounds. On the other hand, the XRD pattern of slag of the impermeable electrode showed an unusual morphology. The few peaks observed in XRD pattern can be associated, according to a survey conducted, to the compound CaF₂. In understanding the phenomena responsible for this fact is necessary to conduct additional studies but with the new coating all the ingredients used are working leaving fluorine free to react with hydrogen. This can be related to the low hydrogen obtained in the weld metaland as commented, needs further evaluation.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The results showed that:

• The composition of weld metal deposited with the impermeable electrodes is within the limits specified for Class E7018 electrodes;
• There is a trend, that should be better understood, to obtain microstructures with higher amount of acicular ferrite (above 25%) compared to the conventional electrode grade E7018;
• The content of diffusible hydrogen in weld metal produced with impermeable electrodes are extremely low, being below those found in the weld metal deposited by conventional Class E7018 electrodes;
• Exposure of the impermeable electrodes for periods relatively long (30 days), under adverse conditions, did not increased the content of diffusible hydrogen in weld metal as observed in the case of conventional class E7018 electrode.
• Mechanical properties of weld metal deposited by the impermeable electrode were satisfactory when compared to the minimum required for the class E7018 electrodes;
• The energy absorbed in impact tests is consistent with the microstructure of the weld metal;
• The polymers used in impermeable coating has a strong influence on the slag composition.
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